

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/966,889	LESTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas J. Cleary	2111	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Thomas J. Cleary - USPTO.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Manware - 48,758.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant confirmed that no response to the Office Action of 22 November 2005 had been filed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)